



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

EFFECTIVENESS OF COMPUTER ASSISTED INSTRUCTION IN THE SUBJECT OF MATHEMATICS FOR DISTANCE LEARNERS

Kamran Masood (PhD Scholar)

Muhammad Safdar (PhD Scholar)

Department of Education

International Islamic University Islamabad (IIUI)

Dr. Irshad Hussain Baloch

Associate Professor

Department of Educational Training

Islamia University Bahawalpur (IUB)



Introduction

Information and communication technologies (ICTs) have revolutionized every walk of life especially education and training. Computers and its related technologies have changed the classroom scenario. These technologies have made teaching learning process easier, interesting and effective (Safdar, 2010; Amina, Yousaf, Parveen & Behlol, 2011). The computer is now considered as super-teaching machine and due to its use in instructions; various developments in the instructional technology have been witnessed as Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI), Programmed Instruction (PI), Computer Based Learning (CBL), Computer Based Instruction (CBI), Modular Approach and Web Based Learning (WBL). Keeping in view of the individual differences, individual learning and individualized instructions these methods are very useful and result oriented (Chhabra & Dhamija, 2013).

Introduction Cont...

Dalton and Hannafin (1988), Price (2006), Rha and Bedell (1998), Hsiao (2001) and Ranninga (2010) conducted studies to assess the effectiveness and efficacy of CAI in teaching learning of Mathematics. They found better performance of the learners educating through CAI. Moreover, secondary students exposed to CAI demonstrated higher academic achievement as compared to the students exposed to traditional instructions. Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI) has been proving an effective medium of education in the advanced countries for formal and non-formal education at all levels.

Advantages of CAI

Konukman (2003) described some pros and cons of CAI are described which were found by (Kulik et al., 1980; Lockard, Abrams & Many 1997; Petrakis, 2000; Steffen, 1985) in their studies:

1. Performance feedback is immediate and based on the number of correct or incorrect responses.
 2. Lessons are individualized.
 3. The environment is paced and controlled by the learner.
 4. The learner has the opportunity to backtrack for review.
 5. The CAI program maintains performance records for assessment and evaluation.
 6. The computer provides a useful environment for simulations.
 7. CAI is time effective for instructional use in the classroom.
 8. The graphics sounds, and colour offered by CAI offer a user-friendly environment.
- 

Disadvantages of CAI

1. Students using CAI are isolated from peers and the school environment.
2. CAI learning is individualized rather than cooperative.
3. The instruction is offered in a dehumanization manner.
4. Teachers take on passive roles, and become facilitators rather than instructors.
5. Technical malfunctions can distract students easily.

Main Purpose of the Study

Main purpose of the study was to determine the effectiveness of computer assisted instruction (CAI) in the subject of mathematics for distance learners.

Methodology

This study was conducted to compare the effects of computer assisted instructions (CAI) with traditional instructions. It was an experimental study and 60 students of class XII (Pre-Engineering) were taken as a sample of the study. For comparison purpose these 120 (M=60+FM=60) students were divided into two equal groups. 60 (M=30+FM=30) students were assigned to experimental group and 60 (M=30+FM=30) to control group. The students of experimental group were exposed to teaching through CAI, while students of control group were taught through traditional method of instructions. Effectiveness of this technology was assessed in the concepts and skills of sets and matrices, by a teacher made post-test which was administered immediately after completing the experiment/teaching to both groups. Test was developed by the researchers with the consultation of experienced math teachers. The test contained multiple-choice questions, fill in the blanks, true/false, match the columns and short questions/answers. Reliability of the instrument was .82 (*Chronbach's Alpha*).

DATA ANALYSIS

At the end of the experiment post-test was administered for both the groups i.e. experimental and control. Marks achieved by the students of experimental group and control group were analyzed through SPSS XVII by running percentages, mean scores and t-test formulas.

Data Analysis

Table 1: Significance of difference between the mean scores of male students of

Group	Number	df	Mean	sd	SED	t-Value
Experimental	30	29	24	6	1.3	0.585*
Control	30	29	23	7		
*Not Significant			Table Value at 0.05=2.78			

Table 1 shows that the value of t (0.585) was found lesser than the table value of t (2.78) at 0.05 levels. Therefore, there was no significant difference between the mean scores of male students of experimental and control groups on previous achievements test in mathematics. Hence, both the groups were treated statistically equal.

Data Analysis

Table 2: Significance of difference between the mean scores of male students of

Group	Number	df	Mean	sd	SED	t-Value
Experimental	30	29	29	4.6	1.00	0.56*
Control	30	29	28	4.7		
*Not Significant			Table Value at 0.05=2.78			

Table 1 shows that the value of t (0.56) was found lesser than the table value of t (2.78) at 0.05 levels. Therefore, there was no significant difference between the mean scores of male students of experimental and control groups on previous achievements test in mathematics. Hence, both the groups were treated statistically equal.

Data Analysis

Table 3: Significance of difference between the mean scores of male students of

Group	Number	df	Mean	sd	SED	t-Value
Experimental	30	29	41	5	1.23	3.30*
Control	30	29	35	7		
*Significant			Table Value at 0.05=2.78			

Table 3 shows that the value of t (3.30) was found greater than the table value of t (2.78) at 0.05 levels. Therefore, there was significant difference between the mean scores of male students of experimental and control groups. Hence, it was concluded that CAI is effective teaching method for distance learners in contrast to traditional instructions in mathematics at FSc level.

Data Analysis

Table 4: Significance of difference between the mean scores of male students of

experimental and control groups

Group	Number	df	Mean	sd	SED	t-Value
Experimental	30	29	40	5	1.24	3.35*
Control	30	29	34	6		
*Significant			Table Value at 0.05=2.78			

Table 4 shows that the value of t (3.35) was found greater than the table value of t (2.78) at 0.05 levels. Therefore, there was significant difference between the mean scores of female students of experimental and control groups. Hence, it was concluded that CAI is effective teaching method for distance learners in contrast to traditional instructions in mathematics at FSc level.

DISCUSSION

Main purpose of the study was to assess the effectiveness of CAI for distance learners. Results of this study revealed that CAI was effective method of teaching concepts and skills of sets and matrices in experimental group of FSc level pre-engineering group. Numerous studies have been conducted on the effects of computer use on student achievement, attitudes, learning rates, and other variables. Generally, the conclusion is that computer-assisted instruction has a positive effect on student learning.

Such results might be interpreted in the light of the fact that CAI improves learning for distance learners because students receive immediate feedback and do not continue to practice their errors (Muhammad & Kanpolat, 2010; Backer, McLaughlin, Weber & McLaughlin, 2009; Hitchcock & Noonan, 2000; MacAurthur et. al., 2001). Computers are also helpful in capturing students' attention and getting high scores in mathematics. Students can learn at their pace and usually move ahead after mastering the skills (Mastropieri & Seruggs, 2000).

DISCUSSION Cont...

Several other meta-analyses and literature reviews across all ages and subject areas also concluded that computer-assisted instruction produced higher achievement, especially when combined with and not replacing traditional instruction (Bialo and Sivin-Kachala, 1996; Cotton, 1991; Edwards, Norton, Taylor, Weiss, and Dusseldorp, 1975; Kulik, 1991; Liao, 2007; Pilli, 2008; Rapaport and Savard, 1980; Thomas, 1979).

CONCLUSIONS

CAI provides hands on activities, supports cooperative learning and creates link between subject and the real world (Basturk, 2005). Computer programmes are interactive and through attractive animation, sound and demonstration engage students and allow them to work and progress at their own pace and work individually or in a group.

CAI provides immediate feedback to students and letting them know whether their answer is correct. If the answer is correct, well done and if the answer is incorrect, this programme guides students how to answer the question correctly (.Muhammad & Kanpolat, 2010; Reiber, 1991; Smaldino et al., 2004). It is recommended that CAI may be used in teaching learning of mathematics for distance learners.

